

Table 8: Total wheat imports per country per season for use in the RSA

	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	Total (Tons)
Argentina	49 516	35 613	132 433	35 519	-	-	298 543	33 719	3 899	27 404	676 253
Australia	38 457	24 816	-	-	-	455 717	382 604	260 151	390 060	567 313	2 214 372
Brazil	-	-	-	-	-	-	242 639	135 833	-	-	378 472
Canada	102 816	27 841	90 944	85 428	51 001	136 481	-	-	4 300	135 876	740 144
Czech Republic	-	144 402	47 904	110 636	52 365	8 965	-	32 856	-	-	397 128
Estonia	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	32 441	-	32 441
Finland	-	-	-	-	21 860	-	-	-	-	-	21 860
Germany	283 451	237 508	282 312	358 343	274 283	51 461	2 732	117 449	-	51 414	2 007 338
Latvia	-	17 098	140 007	39 290	54 803	115 250	47 391	76 832	137 608	83 678	772 962
Lithuania	151 047	-	182 241	124 161	202 656	275 903	312 795	232 867	408 142	194 337	2 127 940
Poland	185 036	76 912	17 514	24 998	543 325	220 604	282 262	512 319	374 147	92 326	2 420 926
Romania	-	112 334	101 449	-	-	-	-	-	-	80 058	293 841
Russian Federation	956 705	182 993	955 697	401 385	536 757	210 399	-	263 783	544 173	445 668	5 217 344
Ukraine	109 350	13 568	135 669	48 210	94 726	7 341	-	-	-	-	688 228
USA	186 387	61 680	87 064	140 127	58 092	34 874	32 333	18 547	32 729	164 138	844 282
Total	2 062 765	934 765	2 173 234	1 368 097	1 889 868	1 516 995	1 601 299	1 684 356	1 927 499	1 842 212	18 833 531

## Quality summary of imported wheat

(Wheat imported from 30 September 2023 to 27 September 2024) (Previous season)

The quality of all wheat imported into South Africa is monitored by the SAGL. A subsample of all samples drawn by inspectors of the National Plant and Plant Production Inspection Services (NPPPI) of the Department of Agriculture (NDA) is forwarded to the SAGL for analysis. To assist with quality comparisons between local and imported wheat, the same scope of analysis is used for both sets of samples. The import quality results are published at the end of each production and marketing season. The results of samples of wheat imported during the current season are updated quarterly and available on the SAGL website.

For grading as well as dough and baking quality results of the imported wheat per country, please refer to pages 80 to 97. This imported wheat quality is compared to a summary of the local crop quality of the corresponding (2023/24) season. To simplify the comparison between the quality of the different countries of import, the average quality per country was summarised in Table 9 on pages 78 and 79. The minimum, maximum and standard deviation per country was also calculated. Please take note of the number of samples analysed when comparing results, the higher the number of samples, the more reliable the average result will be.

A total number of 166 samples of wheat imported from the following nine countries were received (number of samples received in brackets): Argentina (3), Australia (30), Canada (2), Estonia (5), Latvia (15), Lithuania (44), Poland (31), the Russian Federation (31) and the USA (5). Wheat imported for purposes other than bread baking (e.g. soft types for biscuit making) is included in this data set.

Most of the wheat imported to South Africa is blended with local wheat to obtain a certain milling and baking quality as per individual company specifications. Milling companies will blend higher and lower quality wheat to obtain the most cost-effective grist formulation that conforms to a specific quality. The main objective is to supply the most consistent quality of flour to their customers (bakers) as possible, as in the end, consistency is one of the most important quality parameters.

Towards the end of the production season, it may however become necessary for milling companies to mill wheat blends consisting only of imported wheat. Transportation cost is also an important factor for consideration. The grist formulation of mills situated at the coast will as a result consist mainly of imported wheat whereas inland mills will mill a combination of local and imported wheat.

The imported wheat samples as well as the 2023/24 wheat crop samples, were graded according to the national wheat grading regulations published in the Government Notice NO. R. 1547 of 29 November 2019. Hectolitre mass is an important grading factor that also provides an indication of flour extraction potential. 7% of the imported samples had hectolitre mass values below 76 kg/hl (minimum requirement for South African Super Grade to Grade 2 wheat), compared to the 12% and 2% of the previous two seasons respectively. Six of the samples originated in Lithuania and another six in the Russian Federation.

Screenings represent all material that passes through a standard slotted sieve (1.8 mm), with 3% the maximum allowed for Super Grade to Grade 3 according to local grading regulations. When comparing screening results originating from different countries, it is important to keep in mind that sieve aperture size and shape as well as sample preparation procedures vary between countries. 10% of samples exceeded screening levels of 3%. Samples from Australia, Poland and the Russian Federation reported the highest levels of screenings.


Two samples from Lithuania reported falling number values below 220 seconds. All of the countries' average falling number values, except for Argentina, were above 300 seconds. The RSA national average for the same season was 375 seconds.

The average whole wheat protein content exceeded 11% (12% mb) for all the countries of import, except the USA (9.4%). The average flour protein content of Poland and the Russian Federation were below 10% (12% mb) and that of the USA below 8% (12% mb). The resultant weak rheological quality of especially the American wheat is indicative of wheat intended for biscuit or cookie making purposes.

The ability of wheat flour to produce dough with good gas-holding capability is attributable to gluten as gluten imparts the elasticity and extensibility characteristics to the dough. Good quality gluten is capable of producing a loaf of bread with a high volume and good crumb texture. When evaluating gluten results, it is important to take the protein content into account. The ratio of wet gluten to total protein content is normally between 2.5 – 2.8 to 1. The wet gluten content of good quality white bread flour normally ranges between 27 – 33% (14% mb). The difference between wet and dry gluten is an indication of the water-holding capacity of the gluten proteins which is in turn related to protein quality. This water-holding capacity is also one of the factors determining flour water absorption.

Flour with higher water absorption is preferred by bakers as this results in increased dough yields. The acceptable range for white bread flour is normally between 60.0 – 64.0%, averaging 61.0 – 62.0%. In general, longer farinogram development times of 3.5 to 6.0 minutes and stabilities of 8.0 to 12.0 minutes will be an indication of good baking quality, which is associated with good protein quality.



The background of the page is a close-up photograph of wheat stalks. The stalks are golden-brown and have long, thin awns that are slightly curved. They are set against a dark, textured background, possibly a wooden surface. The lighting is soft, highlighting the texture of the wheat.

Acceptable ranges for the alveogram parameters generally are as follows: Strength 30 – 45 cm<sup>2</sup>, stability (P) 65 – 120 mm, distensibility (L) 80 – 120 mm and P/L 0.70 – 1.50. A good correlation exists between alveogram strength and protein quality. Low/short distensibility values, indicated by high P/L values can result in lower loaf volumes. High/long distensibility values, are indicative of soft doughs with excess stretching properties, which can also result in low loaf volumes due to poor gas retention properties. In general, extensogram strength values ranging between 80 – 150 cm<sup>2</sup>, maximum heights of 300 – 550 BU and extensibility values of 170 – 220 mm, indicate good baking quality.

Imported wheat samples (the Australian and Canadian samples to a lesser extent), again showed a tendency towards long mixogram mixing times. Some of these long mixing times can be explained by low protein levels in the samples. Mixing time provides an indication of the amount of time required to mix a dough to optimum development, 2.5 to 3.5 minutes are considered acceptable in South Africa. The longer the mixing time, the larger the risk that the dough will not be mixed to optimum development, which will negatively influence the bread quality and cause lower loaf volumes. Long mixing times can also result in increased dough temperatures. Warmer doughs will proof faster and generally carry less water.

Composite samples of holds per shipment per country were tested for the presence of mycotoxin residues by means of an accredited multi-mycotoxin analysis. All samples tested negative for Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, Fumonisin B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>3</sub>, Ochratoxin A, HT-2 Toxin, T-2 Toxin and Zearalenone. Deoxynivalenol (DON) was the only mycotoxin found to be present in these samples. All the positive DON results were well below the national maximum allowable level of 2 000 µg/kg for cereal grains intended for further processing.