Table 8: Total wheat imports per country per season for use in the RSA

|                       | Tot use in the Roll |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |            |               |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|---------------|
|                       | Season              |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |            | Total (Tons)  |
|                       | 2008/2009           | 2009/2010 | 2010/2011 | 2011/2012 | 2012/2013 | 2013/2014 | 2014/2015 | 2015/2016 | 2016/2017 | 2017/2018* | Total (1011s) |
| Argentina             | 368 739             | 1         | 629 600   | 652 279   | 98 029    | 1         | 59 607    | 49 516    | 35 613    | 134 817    | 2 028 200     |
| Australia             | 74 714              | 55 312    | 181 637   | 247 675   | 189 925   | 49 780    | 95 254    | 38 457    | 24 816    | -          | 957 570       |
| Brazil                | 42 449              | 123 944   | 58 551    | 276 420   | 234 733   | 1         | 1         | -         | -         | -          | 736 097       |
| Canada                | 54 831              | 72 911    | 79 697    | 45 252    | 48 583    | 111 289   | 105 457   | 102 816   | 27 841    | -          | 648 677       |
| Czech<br>Republic     | -                   | ı         | ı         | ı         | ı         | ı         | 1         | -         | 144 402   | -          | 144 402       |
| Finland               | -                   | ı         | ı         | 1         | 1         | 25 430    | 1         | -         | -         | -          | 25 430        |
| France                | -                   | ı         | ı         | 1         | 1         | 1         | 1         | -         | -         | -          | 0             |
| Germany               | 518 002             | 809 934   | 88 581    | 105 964   | 95 476    | 179 436   | 348 385   | 283 451   | 237 508   | 283 427    | 2 950 164     |
| Latvia                | -                   | -         | ı         | 1         | 1         | 22 013    | 61 005    | -         | 17 098    | 138 522    | 238 638       |
| Lesotho               | -                   | -         | 1         | 1         | 384       | 1         | 1         | -         | -         | -          | 384           |
| Lithuania             | -                   | 1 611     | 1         | 8 880     | -         | 40 532    | 43 791    | 151 047   | -         | 184 356    | 430 217       |
| Poland                | 13 013              | -         | ı         | 1         | 1         | -         | 91 483    | 185 036   | 76 912    | 22 416     | 388 860       |
| Romania               | -                   | 1         | ı         | 36 071    | 1         | 1         | 1         | -         | 112 334   | 101 449    | 249 854       |
| Russian<br>Federation | -                   | 1         | 1         | 154 129   | 245 228   | 800 964   | 719 784   | 956 705   | 182 993   | 596 291    | 3 656 094     |
| Swaziland             | -                   | 1         | -         | 1         | 288       |           | -         | -         | -         | -          | 288           |
| UK                    | -                   | 1         | -         | 1         | 1         | -         | -         | -         | -         | -          | 0             |
| Ukraine               | 13 521              | 41 230    | -         | 39 016    | 341 976   | 372 500   | 279 364   | 109 350   | 13 568    | 135 669    | 1 346 194     |
| Uruguay               | -                   | -         | 25 249    | 45 250    | 99 033    | -         | -         | -         | -         | -          | 169 532       |
| USA                   | 113 434             | 173 030   | 586 200   | 112 915   | 42 572    | 66 468    | 28 311    | 186 387   | 61 680    | 80 215     | 1 451 212     |
| Total                 | 1 198 703           | 1 277 972 | 1 649 515 | 1 723 851 | 1 396 227 | 1 668 412 | 1 832 441 | 2 062 765 | 934 765   | 1 677 162  | 15 421 813    |

<sup>\*2017/2018</sup> season figures include imports up to 13 July 2018.

## Quality summary of imported wheat (1 October 2016 to 30 September 2017) (Previous season)

The quality of all wheat imported into South Africa is monitored by the SAGL. A subsample of all samples drawn by inspectors of the South African Agricultural Food, Quarantine and Inspection Services (SAAFQIS) of the Department of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (DAFF) is forwarded to the SAGL for analysis. To assist with quality comparisons between local and imported wheat, the same scope of analysis is used for both sets of samples. The import quality results are published at the end of each production and marketing season.

For grading as well as dough and baking quality results of the imported wheat per country, please refer to pages 86 to 107. This imported wheat quality is compared to a summary of the local crop quality of the corresponding (2016/2017) season. To simplify the comparison between the quality of the different countries of import and South African wheat, the average quality per country was summarised in Table 9 on pages 84 and 85. The minimum, maximum and standard deviation per country was also calculated. Please take note of the number of samples analysed when comparing results, the higher the number of samples, the more reliable the average result will be.

A total number of 93 samples of wheat imported from the following eleven countries were received (number of samples received in brackets): Argentina (3), Australia (1), Canada (7), Czech Republic (2), Germany (37), Latvia (4), Poland (8), Romania (9), Russian Federation (22), Ukraine (4) and USA (6). Wheat imported for purposes other than bread baking (e.g. soft types for biscuit making) is included in this data set.

Most of the wheat imported to South Africa is blended with local wheat to obtain a certain milling and baking quality as per individual company specifications. Milling companies will blend higher and lower quality wheat to obtain the most cost-effective grist formulation that conforms to a specific quality. The main objective is to supply the most consistent quality of flour to their customers (bakers) as possible, as in the end, consistency is one of the most important quality parameters.

Towards the end of the production season, it may however become necessary for milling companies to mill wheat blends consisting only of imported wheat. Transportation cost is also an important factor for consideration. The grist formulation of mills situated at the coast will as a result consist mainly of imported wheat whereas inland mills will mill a combination of local and imported wheat.

Hectolitre mass is an important grading factor that also provides an indication of flour extraction potential. 18% of the samples had hectoliter mass values below 77 kg/hl (minimum requirement for South African grade B1 wheat), compared to the 7% and 3% of the previous two seasons. These samples originated from the Russian Federation, Poland and the Ukraine which reported the lowest hectoliter mass values overall.

Screenings represent all material that passes through a standard sieve (1.8 mm), with 3% the maximum allowed for grades 1 to 3 according to RSA grading regulations. When comparing screening results originating from different countries, it is important to keep in mind that sieve aperture size and shape as well as sample preparation procedures vary between countries. Samples from Poland, the Ukraine and Russian Federation reported the highest levels of screenings, which explains the low hectolitre mass values observed on these samples at least in part.

None of the samples reported falling number results below 220 seconds. The wheat imported from Australia had the highest falling number value as in the previous three seasons.

The protein content and rheological characteristics of the wheat imported from the USA varied from low and weak to average and fair. The average values are therefore not a true reflection of the overall imported USA wheat bread baking quality, since most of the wheat imported were most probably not intended for bread baking purposes.

The ability of wheat flour to produce dough with good gas-holding capability is attributable to gluten as gluten imparts the elasticity and extensibility characteristics to the dough. Good quality gluten is capable of producing a loaf of bread with a high volume and good crumb texture. As in the previous seasons, the imported Canadian wheat had the highest protein content resulting in the highest gluten content. When evaluating gluten results, it is important to take the protein content into account. The ratio of wet gluten to total protein content is normally between 2.5 - 2.8 to 1. The wet gluten content of good quality white bread flour normally ranges between 27 - 33% (14% mb). The difference between wet and dry gluten is an indication of the water-holding capacity of the gluten proteins which is in turn related to protein quality. This water-holding capacity is also one of the factors determining flour water absorption.

Flour with higher water absorption is preferred by bakers as this results in increased dough yields. The acceptable range for white bread flour is normally between 60.0 - 64.0%, averaging 61.0 - 62.0%. In general, longer farinogram development times of 3.5 to 6.0 minutes and stabilities of 8.0 to 12.0 minutes will be an indication of good baking quality, which is associated with good protein quality.

Acceptable ranges for the alveogram parameters generally are as follows: Strength  $30 - 45 \text{ cm}^2$ , stability (P) 65 - 120 mm, distensibility (L) 80 - 120 mm and P/L 0.70 - 1.50. A good correlation exists between alveogram strength and protein quality. Low/short distensibility values, indicated by high P/L values can result in lower loaf volumes. High/long distensibility values, are indicative of soft doughs with excess stretching properties, which can also result in low loaf volumes due to poor gas retention properties. In general, extensogram strength values ranging between  $80 - 150 \text{ cm}^2$ , maximum heights of 300 - 550 BU and extensibility values of 170 - 220 mm, indicate good baking quality.

Most of the imported wheat samples, again showed a tendency towards longer mixogram mixing times. Some of these long mixing times can be explained by low protein levels in the samples. Flours having undesirably low protein starch ratios, require more time to produce continuous protein phases during mixing. Mixing time provides an indication of the amount of time needed to mix the dough to optimum development, between 2.8 and 3.5 minutes are considered acceptable in South Africa. The longer the mixing time, the larger the risk that the dough will not be mixed to optimum development, which will negatively influence the bread quality and cause lower loaf volumes.

Composite samples of holds per shipment per country were tested for the presence of mycotoxin residues by means of a multi-mycotoxin analysis. The mycotoxin results did not raise any concerns. Deoxynivalenol (DON) residues were observed on some of the samples, but none of the levels exceeded national or international maximum residue levels.