

Assuring the quality of South African wheat

South Africa has three major wheat-breeding programs. New or introduction cultivars can only be released for planting if it has better agronomical as well as better flour quality characteristics than the cultivars planted commercially in a specific area.

The classification of wheat cultivars is an attempt to provide the wheat industry with new cultivars that perform well agronomically and possess suitable milling, rheological and baking characteristics. Analytical procedures and classification norms are compiled in conjunction with wheat breeders, millers and bakers to ensure market-directed and quality-driven wheat production in the interest of wheat producers and processors.

Classification norms use cultivars as biological quality standards as a frame of reference against which new breeding lines are evaluated. Only cultivars that are successfully grown commercially and possess acceptable agronomical and quality characteristics may be considered as biological quality standards.

As the breeding of wheat with the suitable quality characteristics is a long-term project, classification norms and quality standards are provided to breeders in an attempt to provide them with guidelines that should stand the test of time. Changing the classification norms and establishing new quality standards are for this reason thoroughly investigated and carefully considered to ensure that the long-term goals of breeding programs are achieved.

The effect of the climate, rainfall, environmental interaction, cultivation practices and other factors on wheat quality makes the use of fixed criteria or norms for classification purposes impractical. For this reason cultivars are used as biological quality standards, and acceptable deviations from the standard are established as classification norms. Producers continuously strive to improve the wheat yield and quality by selecting the best cultivars for commercial production in a specific area. Grading standards are also set high to ensure adequate quality control.

Wheat grades

The 337 representative crop samples were graded as follows: 31% was graded B1, 18% was graded B2, 13% was graded B3, 5% was graded B4, 26% UT (Utility Grade) and 7% COW (Class Other Wheat). The majority of the samples (69%) downgraded to Utility Grade was as a result of the percentage of either other grain and unthreshed ears or insect damaged kernels or a combination of both exceeding the maximum allowable level for grades B1 to B4. The percentage total damaged kernels and/or combined deviations (19% of UT samples) were also contributing factors. Most of these downgraded samples originated from the Western Cape. Of the samples downgraded to Class Other Wheat, 68% was due to the presence of live insects and 24% as a result of the percentage field fungi infected kernels exceeding the maximum allowable level of 2%.

Grade B1 wheat in the Free State province amounted to 48% (38% in the previous season). In the Irrigation areas 46% (39% in the previous season) of the wheat graded as B1 and in the Western Cape Province 8% graded as B1 (12% in the previous season).

Table 2: Bread Wheat Grading Table

Grade	Minimum			Maximum percentage permissible deviation (m/m)									
				A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
	Hectolitre mass, kg/hl	Falling number, seconds	Protein content, %	Heavily frost damaged kernels	Field fungi	Storage fungi	Screenings	Other grain and unthreshed ears	Gravel, stones, turf and glass	Foreign matter plus F	Heat damaged kernels	Damaged kernels plus H	Combined deviations (D+E+G+I)
Grade 1	77	220	12	5	2	0.5	3	1	0.5	1	0.5	2	5
Grade 2	76	220	11	5	2	0.5	3	1	0.5	1	0.5	2	5
Grade 3	74	220	10	5	2	0.5	3	1	0.5	1	0.5	2	5
Grade 4	72	200	9	5	2	0.5	4	1	0.5	1	0.5	2	5
Utility grade	70	150	8	10	2	0.5	10	4	0.5	3	0.5	5	10
Other Wheat	<70	<150	<8	>10	>2	>0.5	>10	>4	>0.5	>3	>0.5	>5	>10
Minimum size of working samples	1 kg	300 g clean	Apparatus instructions	25 g sifted	25 g sifted	25 g sifted	500 g unsifted	50 g sifted	100 g sifted	100 g sifted	100 g sifted	25 g sifted	-